

**A Holistic View of Education and Schooling: Guiding Students to
Develop Capacities, Acquire Virtues, and Provide Service**
William Huitt

Citation: Huitt, W. (2010). *A holistic view of education and schooling: Guiding students to develop capacities, acquire virtues, and provide service*. Paper presented at the 12th Annual International Conference sponsored by the Athens Institute for Education and Research (ATINER), May 24-27, Athens, Greece. Retrieved [date] from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/holistic-view-of-schooling.pdf>

This paper considers the development of the whole person from the perspective of developing capacities in eight domains: (1) cognition/thinking, (2) affect/emotion, (3) conation/volition (or self-regulation), (4) physical/bodily-kinesthetic, (5) social/interpersonal; (6) spiritual/transpersonal, (7) moral character, and (8) temperament, personality, and self-views. Basic research is considered as well as how interested adults, especially educators, can facilitate development in these areas.

Parents, educators, and concerned citizens around the world are asking questions about how best to prepare children and youth for successful adulthood in the twenty-first century. The question takes on added importance because humanity is immersed in a social and cultural environment that is changing at an accelerating rate (Kurzweil, 2001). Simultaneously, there is exponential growth in an understanding of human capacities and the potential for human development (Damon, 2004). Though every human society has dealt with issues of preparing children and youth for adulthood, the potential benefits have never been greater for providing the proper learning experiences so that young people may flourish as adults.

While it is acknowledged that schools are not the only social institutions responsible for the education of children and youth (Huitt, 2009), schools are where most will engage in formal, systematic learning experiences rather than the informal and sometimes conflicting learning experiences provided by the home, community, and larger society (Wikeley, Bullock, Muschamp, & Ridge, 2007). Focusing on schools as a means for preparing young people for adulthood is one of the hallmarks of developed countries (The National Commission on Excellence in Education, 1983). On the other hand, when positive connections are made between home, school, and community, the impact can be even more powerful (Epstein, & Sanders, 2000; Henderson, & Mapp, 2002; Roehlkepartain, Benson, & Sesma, 2003).

A new vision for educating children and youth, both formally and informally, is required if they are to become successful adults in the twenty-first century. Exactly what that means needs to be considered and plans need to be made and implemented (The Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2009; Tate, 2008). This requires the ability to think beyond the actual to the possible through the use of imagination. Liu and Noppe-Brandon (2009) make an excellent point that the use of imagination is the first step towards developing creative solutions to seemingly intractable challenges. It is then necessary to develop innovative products and services that can be used to meet those challenges.

The purpose of this paper is to provide an overview of research describing innate capacities of human beings that can be actualized through directed school-based experiences and to review the types of curricula, learning experiences, and potential accountability procedures that educators can use to do so. This information is also important to parents and community

members who want to facilitate development of a broad range of knowledge, attitudes, and skills related to successful development (Bushaw, & Gallup, 2008; Elam, Rose, & Gallup, 1992; Gallup, 1975.) It is intended that readers will be stimulated to provide more of the types of experiences that will allow children and youth to prepare for the challenging times they will face as adults.

Identifying Capacities

The first step in the identification of potential capacities that could be developed via guided learning experiences was to investigate human capacities considered to be intelligences as these refer to an ability or aptitude for learning. A second step was then to investigate whether available research showed that the movement from capacity to competence (i.e., an actualized capacity) could be facilitated through guided learning experiences.

Perhaps the most widely accepted approach to identifying a variety of human capacities is Gardner's (1983, 2006) work on multiple intelligences. He initially identified seven intelligences. Three of the intelligences have been labeled Symbol Analytic in that they involve making a conversion from a symbol to a higher-level mental code (i.e., *linguistic*—translating letters and words into knowledge and concepts; *logical-mathematical*—converting numbers to quantitative concepts and to think rationally and/or logically; *musical*—translating written musical symbols in timbre, pitch, and rhythm). Two of Gardner's intelligences are considered Personal intelligences in that they are oriented to the person (*intrapersonal*—knowledge of one's self and *interpersonal*—knowledge of others, especially their moods and motivations). Finally, two additional intelligences might be considered as Object-oriented intelligences (*spatial*—the ability to mentally rotate an object in space and *bodily-kinesthetic*—the ability to control one's body and handle objects skillfully).

Gardner (1999) later identified an eighth intelligence which he labeled *naturalist* (an Object-oriented intelligence). He defined this as an ability to discern differences in one's natural surroundings. A ninth intelligence, labeled *existential* (a Transpersonal intelligence) is still under consideration. It involves that ability to search for and connect with universal unknowns.


In the process of investigating other research that might confirm the concept of multiple intelligences, eight domains were identified separately by a variety of researchers: (1) cognition/thinking, (2) affect/emotion, (3) conation/volition, (4) physical/bodily-kinesthetic, (5) social/interpersonal; (6) spiritual/ transpersonal, (7) moral character, and (8) temperament, personality, and self-views. Interestingly, five of these relate to terms used by the ancient Greeks to describe different aspects of a human being: body (bodily-kinesthetic), mind (cognition/thinking, affect/emotion, and conation/volition), and soul/spirit. Research also identified human capacities for social interaction, morality, and the creation of self views.

There is considerable overlap between Gardner's (1999, 2006) list of multiple intelligences and the list of intelligences identified separately by others whose research will be discussed below. For example, both lists contain bodily-kinesthetic, social/interpersonal, existential/spiritual, and self/intrapersonal. The domain of cognition/thinking is represented in Gardner's work as a combination of linguistic and logical-mathematical intelligences. However, Gardner does not identify intelligences linked with the domains of affective/emotion, volition/conation, and morality. Likewise, spatial, musical, and naturalistic intelligences are not considered as intelligences by other researchers.

The domains might be considered as points on a star with the self and self-views central to the image (see Figure 1). This is a revision of the Becoming a Brilliant Star framework

previously developed (Huitt, 2006a). It shows that the domains are developed within a multi-level sociocultural context where family, school, religious organizations, and friends, as well as the connections among them, provide the most direct influence on one's development.

Figure 1. Becoming a Brilliant Star Framework



The remainder of this section will briefly review capacities represented by these eight intelligences. Discussed first is cognition and thinking, as that is the dominant domain used for identifying the capacity to do well in school through developing academic competence. Next considered are the other two faculties of the mind, affect or emotion and conation or volition (currently discussed primarily in research on self-regulation). The domains of physical or bodily-kinesthetic intelligence, social intelligence, spiritual intelligence, and moral intelligence

will then be discussed. Finally, different ideas regarding the development of the knowledge of one's self or intrapersonal intelligence will be considered.

Cognitive Intelligence

While many view cognitive intelligence as inherently fixed (Jensen, 2002), other researchers have demonstrated that learning experiences can impact cognitive processing skills and, therefore, one's ability to learn academic content. For example, Feuerstein and his colleagues (1979; Feuerstein, Rand, Hoffman, & Miller, 1980) showed that measured IQ can be increased through involvement in a two- to three-year program (titled Instrumental Enrichment) focused on modifying specific, though non-content related, cognitive processes. Ben-Hur (2000) reviewed research from seven separate studies demonstrating the effectiveness of the program. In general, students who completed the program were more organized in their thinking, more self-sufficient in their learning, and volunteered more in class.

Sternberg (1985, 1996) stated that traditional measures of intelligence, developed to identify mental capacity related to academic competence, are limited. He identified three separate, though related, categories of cognitive abilities. The first he labeled *analytic*, where the individual uses strategies such as comparing and analyzing to investigate the elements and relationships of an object or situation. The second he labeled *creative*, where the individual uses strategies such as imagining or designing to find different elements or connections to solve non-traditional problems or challenges. Sternberg labeled the third as *practical*, where the individual is using strategies to address problems or challenges as they occur in everyday contexts. Sternberg believes that one's individual profile of successful intelligence is comprised of one's competencies in each of these three areas. While one may have an inherited capacity for each of these types of intelligence, this is relatively less important than how these capacities are developed and used for personal success. Sternberg and his colleagues have developed specific programs and lessons that focus on the development of the skills related to the different components of successful intelligence (e.g., Sternberg, & Grigorenko, 2000; Sternberg et al., 2000; Williams, Blythe, Li, White, Sternberg, & Gardner, 1997; Williams, Markle, Brigoekas, & Sternberg, 2002).

Wegener (2005) provided another rubric for considering the specific skills used in cognition and thinking. He primarily focused on Sternberg's (1985, 1996) analytic intelligence skills such as making associations, engaging in analysis, drawing implications, and describing correlational and causal relationships. However, he also identified the intellectual skill of synthesis, which is more related to the creative aspect of intelligence described by Sternberg. In all, he described twenty-two cognitive processing skills that provide the foundation for engaging in academic tasks. Most importantly, Wegener provided samples of lessons that can be used to address each of the skills he identifies.

Costa and Kallick (2008) developed yet another alternative through a description of 16 habits of mind (see Table 1). Using the domains described in this paper, 7 of the 16 actually relate to cognition and thinking (e.g. strive for accuracy, think flexibly, and think about one's own thinking). Eight of the others relate to affect and emotion (e.g., listen with understanding and empathy, find humor), conation and volition (e.g., manage impulsivity, persist, take responsible risks), and social (e.g., effective communication, interpersonal effectiveness). The last habit, metacognition, provides a bridge across the domains as it relates to one's integrated thinking about capacity and competence in the other domains. In many ways, Costa and

Kallick’s work integrates the research of Feuerstein, Gardner, and Sternberg discussed above, as well as the work on emotional, conative, and social intelligence discussed below. The authors not only describe the habits in detail, they also provide ways to integrate these into curriculum, instruction, and assessment. Most importantly there are excellent descriptions available on how this approach has been implemented in actual schools and districts.

Table 1. Habits of Mind

Domain	Habit	Description
Cognition/ Thinking	Gather data through all the senses	Use all sensory pathways: gustatory, olfactory, tactile, kinesthetic, auditory, and visual.
	Strive for accuracy	Check facts; nurture desire for exactness, fidelity, craftsmanship, and truth.
	Question and pose problems	Consider what data are needed; find problems to solve.
	Apply past knowledge to new situations	Access prior knowledge and transfer that knowledge to new contexts and problems
	Think flexibly	Change perspectives, generate alternatives, and consider multiple options.
	Create, imagine, and innovate	Generate novel ideas, seek fluency and originality.
Affect/ Emotion	Listen with understanding and empathy	Connect cognitively and emotionally with others.
	Respond with wonderment and awe	Be intrigued by the world’s phenomena and beauty. Find what is awesome and mysterious in the world.
	Find humor	Look for whimsical, incongruous, and unexpected in life. Laugh at self when possible.
Conation/ Volition	Manage impulsivity	Think before acting.
	Persist	Seeing task though to completion.
	Take responsible risks	Live on the edge of one’s competencies.
	Remain open to continuous learning	Be proud of what one knows and humble enough to admit one doesn’t know. Resist complacency.
Social	Think and communicate with clarity and precision	Strive for accurate communication in both written and oral form; avoid overgeneralizations, distortions, deletions.
	Think interdependently	Work with and learn from others in reciprocal situations.
Multiple	Think about one’s own thinking (metacognition)	Become aware of own thoughts, feelings, intentions, strategies, and actions and how these affect others.

* Adapted from Costa and Killick (2008)

Affective/Emotional Intelligence

Lewis, Haviland-Jones, and Barrett (2008) documented the resurgence of research on emotion and reported on the pervasive influence of emotions on human thinking and behavior. Work done by Salovey and Mayer (1990), and popularized by Goleman (1995), brought this domain to the attention of the public in a manner similar to the impact of work done on cognitive intelligence by Gardner (1983) and Sternberg (1985) a decade earlier.

Unfortunately, Goleman's conceptualization of emotional intelligence, which included a list of twenty-five potential competences, diluted the focus on emotion as only four directly related to other researchers' definitions (i.e., the personal competencies of emotional awareness, accurate self-assessment, and self-confidence, and the social-emotional competence of understanding others' emotions.) Mayer and Salovey (1997) provided an update of the research on emotional intelligence, conceptualizing it as comprised of aptitudes in four categories: (1) the ability to perceive emotion; (2) the ability to use emotion to facilitate thought; (3) the ability to understand emotions; and (4) the ability to manage emotions.

Denham (1998), in an excellent overview for educators emphasizing the actualization of emotional intelligence, chose to ignore the component of influencing thought and focused on three aspects of emotional intelligence: (1) *emotional understanding* (of one's own emotions and those of others as well as the ability to relate the two); (2) *emotional expression* (how one uses verbal and nonverbal means to express emotion); and (3) *emotional regulation* (the ability to enhance or dampen one's emotions based one's circumstances.) Maurer, Brackett, and Plain (2004) suggested emotional understanding should be further unpacked into (a) recognizing emotions to obtain valuable information about the environment, (b) understanding how emotions influence attention, thinking, decisions, and behavior, and (c) labeling emotions to describe feelings precisely. This disagreement on a definition of emotional intelligence is only one of many issues that have yet to be resolved (Matthews, Emo, Roberts, & Zeidner, 2006; Matthews, Roberts, & Zeidner, 2004).

There are a number of authors who focused on classroom-based approaches to developing emotional competence. For example, Hyson (2003) and Saarni (1999, 2007) described activities for the early childhood level. Saarni provided an excellent review of research related to developing five emotional competencies: (1) awareness of one's own emotions and discernment of the emotions of others; (2) the capacity for connecting empathically with others; (3) understanding the difference between internal subjective feelings and external expressional expression; (4) self-management when coping with aversive emotions; and (5) awareness of emotional communication and self-regulation in relationships. Maurer et al. (2004) described a middle school program for developing emotional literacy. Additionally, several chapters in an edited volume by Bar-On, Maree, and Elias (2007) review research that schools, parents, and community organizations can use to impact emotional development.

A related area to the development of emotional competence is the issue of subjective well-being, which includes three components. Two are affective components defining everyday happiness (positive affect and negative affect); the third is a cognitive component describing one's overall satisfaction with one's life (Diener, 1984; Diener, Suh, Lucas, & Smith, 1999). Seligman (2002) concluded that there are actually three different orientations to happiness: (1) sensual pleasure (hedonic happiness); (2) engagement (flow, using one's character strengths in challenging situations), and (3) meaning (eudaimonic happiness). Peterson, Park, and Seligman

(2005) showed that these orientations could be reliably measured. While a high score on any one of these orientations correlated well to life satisfaction, people with a high score on all three (what the researchers titled “living a full life”) showed the highest levels of life satisfaction. Perhaps more importantly, a higher level of meaning-related happiness had a larger effect size than did the other two in predicting life satisfaction.

In a related study, Park, Peterson, and Seligman (2004) found that strengths more related to the affective domain (i.e., hope, zest, gratitude, love) and the conative domain (e.g., curiosity, persistence, self-regulation) were more likely to be associated with life satisfaction than were strengths more associated with the cognitive domain (e.g., perspective/wisdom). Subsequently, Froh and his colleagues (Bono, & Froh, 2009; Froh, Miller, & Snyder, 2007; Froh, Sefick, & Emmons, 2008) found that gratitude was a significant component of life satisfaction for adolescents and could be impacted through school-based interventions.

While there are significant challenges to addressing emotional intelligence and emotional competence, including the various components of happiness, these issues continue to attract a great deal of attention. Perhaps that is because emotional development has been tied to cognitive functioning (Isen, 2008; Lazarus, 1999), conative development (Buckley, & Saarni, 2009; Saarni, 1997), social development (Goleman, 2006), moral development (Hoffman, 2000), spiritual development (Guela, 2004), and self-views (Hamacheck, 2000). Happiness has been related to outcomes such as higher income, more satisfying and longer marriages, more friends, better physical health, and living longer (Lyubomirsky, 2007). Lyubomirsky also reported research showing that individuals who identified themselves as happier were more creative, helpful, self-confident, and showed greater self-regulation and coping abilities.

One challenge for addressing emotional intelligence and happiness in school is research showing that early experiences, specifically in the home between the infant/child and primary caregiver, are especially important for proper emotional development (Cooper, Masi, & Vick, 2009; Stack, Serbin, Enns, Ruttle, & Barrieau, 2010; Yap, Allen, Leve, & Katz, 2008). A second challenge is that there are relatively few classroom-based programs that focus solely on developing students’ emotional intelligence. Rather, focus on emotions is generally embedded in programs such as habits of mind (Costa, & Kallick, 2008), social-emotional learning (Zins, Payton, Weissberg, & O'Brien, 2007), conflict resolution (Bodine, & Crawford, 1999), or moral development (Narvaez, 2008b). These programs are discussed separately below.

Conative Intelligence

Central to the concept of conative intelligence is the use of personal agency or volition to make choices regarding thoughts, emotions, and behaviors related to goal-directed activities. Although conation has been an area of study in psychology since its beginning as a scientific discipline (Hilgard, 1980), research on this domain, including research on will, volition, and self-regulation, has been fraught with controversy as it highlights discussions of human agency and whether or not it actually exists (Tallon, 1997). However, over the last century, Newton’s paradigm of a closed, deterministic universe where human agency was perceived as non-existent gave way to a process ecology or adaptive systems paradigm where the universe, and especially humanity’s interaction with it, is viewed as being open and indeterministic (Ulanowicz, 2009). This has led to a philosophical view of human capabilities that allows, even requires, conscious agency. The reappearance of an emphasis on human volition was assisted after a long absence when Wechler included a conative component in his widely-used intelligence measure (Cooper,

1997), Kolbe (1990) developed a reliable and valid measure of conation, and Goleman (1995) included conative components in his definition of emotional intelligence.

Bandura (1986, 1989, 2001) has been one of the leading researchers in the study of human agency through his investigation of self-regulation. He identified four components that provide the foundation for one's self-regulatory capability: (1) intentionality—the ability to originate a purposeful action; (2) forethought—the ability to think about the future and to make plans; (3) self-reactiveness—the ability to monitor one's actions and make corrections to achieve one's goals; and (4) self-reflection—the ability to evaluate one's purpose, values, and goals with respect to one's plans and actions. Using Bandura's framework, Zimmerman (1998) developed a process approach to self-regulation that included three phases: (1) forethought, including setting goals and making plans; (2) performance, including the use of volition to put plans into action; and (3) self-reflection, including relating performance to goals and taking corrective action.

Huitt and Cain (2005) took a slightly different approach by focusing on the self-motivational components of conation, including proactively establishing and maintaining one's goal-directed actions, energizing one's self to action, and persevering in spite of setbacks or obstacles. Proactively establishing one's direction includes at least four sets of skills: (1) becoming aware of human needs in general as well as one's specific needs; (2) articulating a vision for one's life and forming a related statement of long-term desires or aspirations; (3) setting short-term goals related to long-term aspirations; and (4) making specific plans for taking action. Strategies must then be used to put plans into action and one must persevere to bring plans to fruition. Self-directed formative evaluation throughout the process allows one to make adjustments in attainment of goals.

As educators, businesses, and governmental agencies began to address the fast pace of social change, the importance of conative or self-regulation skills related to life-long learning became increasingly apparent (McCombs, 1991). Early research showed that students who scored high in self-regulation had set personal learning goals, engaged in accurate self-monitoring, and thought strategically about their learning activities (Schunk, & Zimmerman, 1994). Summaries of research in this field showed that it is intricately linked to cognitive and affective processing (e.g., Baumeister, & Vohs, 2007; Boekaerts, Pintrich, & Zeidner, 2000). However, it is distinctive in that it focuses on proactive, goal-directed behavior.

Other research showed that conative or self-regulation skills are significantly related to academic achievement in a wide variety of contexts (e.g., Eshel, & Kohavi, 2003; Joo, Bong, & Choi, 2000; Neber, & Schommer-Aikins, 2002; Pajares, & Graham, 1999) and can be modified through classroom experiences (e.g., DeBowski, Wood, & Bandura, 2001; Perels, Guertler, & Schmitz, 2005; Perry, 1999; Zimmerman, 2002). Additionally, researchers found that classroom teachers could be trained to provide instruction that enhanced student's self-regulation skills (Schunk, & Zimmerman, 1994, 1998). Zimmerman and his colleagues published a how-to manual on developing students' self-regulation skills (Zimmerman, Bonner, & Kovach, 1996) and produced a classroom-based program that addressed these skills (Cleary, & Zimmerman, 2004; Nelson, Cleary, & Platten, 2008).

Bodily-Kinesthetic or Physical Intelligence

Gardner (1983) stated that bodily-kinesthetic intelligence involves the ability to use the body to complete complex and/or intricate physical tasks. Blemenfeld-Janes (2009) defined bodily-kinesthetic intelligence as an ability to be aware of one's body in space and motion.

Visser, Ashton, and Vernon (2008) showed that bodily-kinesthetic intelligence was often differentiated into two components: (1) gross motor ability (e.g., extraordinary balance and coordination), and (2) fine motor ability (e.g., dexterity). As bodily-kinesthetic intelligence is actualized into competence, there are also two categories: basic and advanced. Basic physical competence is often measured in terms of (1) cardiovascular endurance, (2) muscular strength, (3) muscular endurance, and (4) flexibility (Caldwell, & Huitt, 2004) while more advanced competencies are shown in such activities as dance, theatre, and sports (Visser et al.)

In order to use one's bodily-kinesthetic intelligence, one needs to have a healthy body. In the developed world, approximately two-thirds of adults and one-third of children are overweight (Daniels, Jacobson, McCrindle, Eckel, & Sanner, 2009; Lewis et al., 2009). Additionally, as global abundance increases, the epidemic of obesity is spreading rapidly to developing countries (Katz, 2005). Katz summarized data from the United States National Center for Health Statistics that showed the trends now in place forecast a "shorter life expectancy for children than for their parents" (p. 62).

Nutrition and physical exercise are the two primary influences on physical development, including health and well-being (Cooper, 1999). With respect to proper eating, a major challenge is that most adults have been taught incorrect information about eating and nutrition (Willett, Skerrett, & Giovannucci, 2001). It does not help that bookstores and magazines are full of competing advice on what and how to eat (Katz, 2005). Fortunately, researchers and practitioners such as Willett et al., Ornish (2007), and Sisson (2009) are beginning to provide sound, scientifically-based recommendations on what and how much to eat. Likewise, chefs such as Ann Cooper (Cooper and Holmes, 2006) and Jamie Oliver (2009; Smith, 2008) provide guidance in how to put these ideas into practice in schools and homes.

In a meta-analysis of 21 studies, Cook-Cottone, Casey, Feeley, and Baran (2009) found that programs producing the best results in addressing obesity targeted elementary children, were whole-school oriented (did not just target overweight children), provided children with specific information and activities regarding healthy nutrition and exercise, and had a high level of parental support. This suggests that preventing weight problems is more effective than addressing problems that arise with older children and youth, that providing information and assistance to parents is as important as working with children, and that schools must target both nutrition and exercise while they have children in their care.

In a summary of the results of an increasing emphasis on academic test scores, Caldwell and Huitt (2004) reported a reduction in children's physical activity with a resulting decline in physical fitness and an increase in fitness-related illnesses. Eliminating or even reducing physical activity in schools does not acknowledge research showing that physical activity positively impacts school academic achievement (Trost, 2009). For schools who desire to promote physical competence, it can be done indirectly by involving children and youth in dance, theatre, or sports; it can also be done directly through movement education (e.g., Dobbins, DeCorby, Robeson, Hussen, & Tinlis, 2009; Dobbins, Lockett, Michel, Beyers, Feldman, Vohra, & Micucci, 2001). Kogan (2004) created a movement education curriculum for elementary students; Carter, Wiecha, Peterson, Nobrega, and Gortmaker (2007) provided a similar approach for middle school students. While there may be specific advantages in having students involved in dance, theatre, sports, or movement education, the most important goal should be to have children and youth develop strong and healthy bodies so that they may use whatever bodily-kinesthetic intelligence they possess.

Social/Interpersonal Intelligence

When Aronson (2003) first published *The Social Animal* in 1972, he confirmed scientifically what people knew experientially: Human beings are social in their very nature. In fact, Dunbar (1998) hypothesized that the large human brain evolved primarily to adapt to an increasingly complex social environment. Albrecht (2005) and Goleman (2006) provided recent reviews of the literature on social intelligence, though they focused more on adults than children and adolescents. Nevertheless, their conceptualizations of social intelligence offer an excellent introduction to this topic.

As with other domains, there are difficulties with the definitions of social intelligence and social competence. Goleman (2006) identified two basic categories of social intelligence, each with four specific subcomponents: *Social Awareness* (primal empathy, attunement, empathetic accuracy, and social cognition) and *Social Facility* (synchrony, self-preservation, influence, and concern). The School Social Behavior Scales (SSBS), one of the most widely used assessment instruments for students in K-12 classrooms, is actually comprised of two scales: (1) the Social Competence Scale, and (2) the Antisocial Behavior Scale (Merrell, 1993). In turn, the Social Competence Scale is comprised of three sets of skills: (1) *interpersonal skills*, (2) *self-management skills*, and (3) *academic skills*.

The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL, 2003, 2007), one of the leaders in the development of social-emotional learning (SEL), identified five teachable competencies that they believe provide a foundation for effective personal development:

1. *Self-awareness*: knowing what one is feeling and thinking; having a realistic assessment of one's own abilities and a well-grounded sense of self-confidence;
2. *Social awareness*: understanding what others are feeling and thinking; appreciating and interacting positively with diverse groups;
3. *Self-management*: handling one's emotions so they facilitate rather than interfere with task achievement; setting and accomplishing goals; persevering in the face of setbacks and frustrations;
4. *Relationship skills*: establishing and maintaining healthy and rewarding relationships based on clear communication, cooperation, resistance to inappropriate social pressure, negotiating solutions to conflict, and seeking help when needed; and
5. *Responsible decision making*: making choices based on an accurate consideration of all relevant factors and the likely consequences of alternative courses of action, respecting others, and taking responsibility for one's decisions.

CASEL and like-minded researchers proposed that school curricula must provide learning experiences that address students' development in the academic, emotional, social, and moral domains (Cohen, 2006; Elias, & Arnold, 2006; Zins, Weissberg, Wang, & Walberg, 2004). Notice that the five competencies listed above involved the domains of cognition/thinking (responsible decision making), affect/emotion (self-awareness and self-management—handling one's emotions), and conation/self-regulation (self-management—setting and accomplishing goals; persevering), in addition to the social domain (social awareness, relationship skills). These researchers suggested that by developing a safe and secure environment and directly teaching the above listed social-emotional competencies, students will not only be more academically engaged, thereby learning more academic material, but also less likely to engage in

risky behavior that would be detrimental to their development. Additionally, they proposed that when schools form partnerships with the families and community organizations of students they serve, the impact of the school is made even stronger (Patrikakou, & Weissberg, 2007; Zins et al., 2007).

Spiritual Intelligence

Huitt and Robbins (2003) summarized the views of many researchers in the area of spiritual intelligence and the development of spiritual competence by describing it as: (1) an inherent human component, (2) considered important by a vast majority of people both in the developed and developing world, and (3) extremely difficult to define and assess with any reliability and validity. There are multiple components of a definition of spirituality, including, but not limited to, the ability to connect to the sacred (Pargament, & Mahoney, 2002); the ability to generate meaning and purpose for one's life (Frankl, 1997, 1998); self-awareness (Zohar, & Marshall, 2000); and the ability to create deep, personal relationships with one's self, with others, with nature, and universal unknowns (Hay, & Nye, 1998). Maslow (1971) suggested that human spirituality is an existential, transpersonal quality that is the essence of one's humanity. However, a number of authors have questioned whether spirituality should be considered an intelligence or better thought of as an aspect of another domain of human potential such as cognition or emotion (Emmons, 2000; Gardner, 2000a; Mayer, 2000).

As in the other domains, one of the complexities when investigating spirituality is the attempt to distinguish spiritual intelligence (a capacity or aptitude) from spiritual competence (an expertise or skill). For example, Amram (2007) identified seven dimensions of spiritual intelligence after interviewing 71 individuals from a wide variety of spiritual practices. Amram and Dryer (2008) then developed a self-report instrument, The Integrated Spiritual Intelligence Scale (ISIS), with items in five categories:

- (1) *Consciousness*: Developed refined awareness and self-knowledge;
- (2) *Grace*: Living in alignment with the sacred manifesting love for and trust in life;
- (3) *Meaning*: Experiencing significance in daily activities through a sense of purpose and a call to service, including persevering in the face of pain and suffering;
- (4) *Transcendence*: Going beyond the separate egoic self into an interconnected wholeness;
- (5) *Truth*: Living in open acceptance, curiosity, and love for all creation.

Notice that the definitions of each of these indicate that a potential has been actualized at an adult level, at least in a manner that allows the individual to be conscious of its expression. Work by Hodge (2001) showed the same difficulty exists with qualitative assessments of spirituality. Roehlkepartain, Ebstyn, Wagener, and Benson (2006) provided an excellent review of the current literature, yet considerable work is needed to identify the developmental sequences for children and youth as they actualize their innate potential in this domain.

Palmer (1998/1999, 2003) has long advocated that spirituality should be part of a classroom teacher's training and practice. McGreevy and Copley (1998/1999) offered a number of suggestions for doing so, including a focus on the arts, making the classrooms and school a place of beauty, taking time to ponder profound issues and questions that students want to address, and involving students in service learning projects. Kessler (2000) identified what she calls seven gateways to the soul that teachers can use as part of their classroom practice (see Table 2). Huitt and Robbins (2003) showed that each of the pathways Kessler identified has been considered important by other researchers. Kessler stated that activities addressing these

pathways must be dealt with in ways that are meaningful to each student. If they are dealt with in a perfunctory manner, students will not develop the deep, personal connections required for developing spiritual competence.

Table 2. Pathways to the Soul*

Pathway	Description	Found in Other Theories
Yearning for Deep Connection	A relationship that is profoundly caring, is resonant with meaning, and involves feelings of belonging, or of being truly seen and known; may experience deep connection to themselves, to others, to nature, or to a higher power.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Erikson (1950) —Need for Belongingness • Gardner (2006) — Interpersonal Intelligence (connection to others)
Longing for Silence and Solitude	As a respite from the tyranny of busyness and noise, silence may be a realm of reflection, of calm or fertile chaos, an avenue of stillness and rest for some, prayer or contemplation for others.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gardner (2006) — Intrapersonal Intelligence
Search for Meaning & Purpose	Exploration of big questions, such as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why am I here? • Does my life have a purpose? • What is life for? • What is my destiny? • Is there a God? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gardner (2006) — Existential Intelligence
Hunger for Joy and Delight	Satisfied through experiences of great simplicity, such as play, celebration, or gratitude; also describes the exaltation students feel when encountering beauty, power, grace, brilliance, love, or the sheer joy of being alive.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Csikszentmihalyi (1998) — Flow in Consciousness
Creative Drive	Part of all the gateways; students feel awe and mystery whether developing a new idea, a work of art, a scientific discovery, or an entirely new lens on life.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sternberg (1985) — Creative Intelligence
Urge of Transcendence	Desire to go beyond perceived personal limits; includes not only the mystical realm, but experiences of the extraordinary in the arts, athletics, academics, or human relations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maslow (1971) — Transcendence
Need for Initiation	Deals with rites of passage for the young—guiding adolescents to become more conscious about the irrevocable transition from childhood to adulthood.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campbell (1972) • Schlegel & Barry (1980) – Initiation

* Adapted from Huitt, & Robbins, (2003)

Moral Intelligence

While there are a number of definitions for moral intelligence, most of them revolve around the habits and patterns of thought, emotions, intentions, and behavior associated with issues of right and wrong, especially in a social context (Vessels, & Huitt, 2005). In the United States, the development of moral character was seen as a fundamental requirement for having a well-functioning society, especially a multicultural democracy (Myers, 2000), and was one of the primary reasons for initially promoting universal, public education (Vessels, & Huitt, 2005). A similar expectation provided the rationale for a global expansion of compulsory schooling (Benavot, & Resnik, 2007). However, with the increased emphasis on academic learning in the latter half of the twentieth century, moral and ethical education was deemphasized.

Recent research has refocused attention on moral intelligence and the development of moral character. Hauser (2006) provided an overview of the innateness of human moral intelligence; Narvaez (2007, 2008b) proposed that neurobiology bestows a foundation upon which moral character development is built. Coles (1996, 1998) found that the moral development of children is closely intertwined with other aspects of development such as cognitive, emotional, social, and spiritual. Vessels and Huitt (2005) reviewed literature showing that every approach to developmental and learning theory had a theory of moral development. For example, behaviorists (Skinner, 1971; Wynne, 1986) and sociologists (Berkowitz, & Grych, 1998; Durkheim, 1961) believe that morality is the direct result of the application of consequences or the intentional transmission of social rules and norms. On the other hand, sociobiologists (Miele, 1996) and nativists (Rousseau, 1979) focus on genetics and maturational influences. Some interactionists, represented by psychoanalytic (Adler, 1995; Freud, 1990), psychosocial (Erikson, 1993), and socio-analytic (Hogan, & Emler, 1995) theorists, think of morality as instinctual and in need of control or socialization while other interactionists, represented by cognitive- and affective-developmental theories (Piaget, 1969; Gilligan, 1977; Kohlberg, 1984) and social cognition theories (Bandura, 1977, 1991) think of human morality as essentially good to be developed through interaction with the environment. Finally, there are theorists who see morality as rooted in personality and personal identity (Blasi, 1993; Erikson, 1994).

There are a wide variety of moral character development programs ranging from moral quality of the month, to the integration of moral character activities into academic lessons, to whole-school programs where instruction is focused on moral character, to service learning programs integrated into the curriculum (Vessels, & Huitt, 2005). In general, research showed that programs work best when they are school-wide, include a school-family connection, include an emphasis on addressing multiple components of moral character (e.g., thinking/cognition, affective/valuing, volitional/intending, and behavior described in fairly traditional ways), and provide opportunities for students to demonstrate their development by providing service to others. The goal for these programs is to have students develop an identity of themselves as virtuous people and to build an extensive repertoire of experiences that supports this identity (Borba, 2002).

In my opinion, Narvaez's (2008b) triune theory of moral development and its implementation through the Integrative Ethical Education program (Narvaez, 2006) shows great promise in providing an integrated approach to moral development. While there are certainly many commendable character education programs that are available (e.g., Battisch, 2003; Elkind, & Sweet, 2004; Lickona, Schaps, & Lewis, 2003), Narvaez's approach not only

explicitly makes reference to the neurobiological foundation of moral character, it directly addresses the underlying components related to the domains of affect/emotions (ethical sensitivity), cognition/thinking (ethical judgment), conation/volition (ethical motivation) as well as the actual display of moral behavior (ethical action). It, therefore, addresses more of the various viewpoints of moral character development discussed by other researchers.

Self and Self Views (Intrapersonal Intelligence)

Many researchers have demonstrated that the concepts of self and self-views are essential to the study of human behavior. Probably the most fundamental concept is that of temperament, considered an innate or inherited aspect of personality (Derryberry, & Reed, 1994; Keirsey, 1998). For example, one's levels of excitability or irritability are considered aspects of one's temperament as well as one's tendency to introversion or extroversion. Temperament has been shown to be related to learning style (Oakland, & Joyce, 2004), development of competence and motivation (Rothbart, & Hwang, 2005), the type of career one prefers while a student (Oakland, Stafford, Horton, and Glutting, 2001), and the type of career one selects as an adult (Keirsey).

Personality is another way of conceptualizing how an individual organizes one's thinking, feeling, intending, and behavior. The most widely used description in modern psychology is the 5-Factor model (McCrae, & Costa, 1997). The five factors (making the acronym, OCEAN) are (1) openness (an active imagination, a preference for variety, or a display of intellectual curiosity); (2) conscientiousness (being precise and careful or thorough); (3) extroversion (a tendency to look outside the self for stimulation and pleasure); (4) agreeableness (a tendency to be pleasant and accepting in social situations); and (5) neuroticism (a tendency to experience negative emotional states.) These factors have been related to a number of outcomes including political preferences (Carney, Jost, Gosling, & Potter, 2008), tendency to use alcohol or drugs (Flory, Lynam, & Milich, 2002), one's passion for internet activities and willingness to express one's 'true self' online (Tosun, & Lajunen, 2009), becoming a 'node' in a social network (Liu, & Ipe, 2010), and different aspects of leadership (Judge, Bono, Ilies, & Gerhardt, 2002).

A different view of personality was presented by Myers (1995) using the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI). This approach was based on the work of Jung (1971) and proposed that people differ in terms of their preferences related to four dimensions (extrovert-introvert, sensing-intuition, feeling-thinking, and judging-perceiving.) The MBTI has been used extensively in such areas as identifying learning styles (Lawrence, 1984), career selection (Kennedy, & Kennedy, 2004), processing social information (Edwards, Lanning, & Hooker, 2002), problem solving and decision making (Huitt, 1992), and leadership styles and working in teams (Kroeger, & Thuesen, 1989).

Yet another conceptualization of personality is that of personality traits, most recently articulated by Peterson and Seligman (2004). They identified twenty-four character strengths grouped into six virtues (wisdom and knowledge [cognitive strengths]; courage [emotional strengths]; humanity [interpersonal strengths]; justice [civic strengths]; temperance [strengths protecting against excess]; and transcendence [strengths that provide meaning by connecting to something outside of one's self].) Notice that these categories of strengths overlap considerably with the previous descriptions of capacities. Peterson and Seligman's belief is that this list of strengths and virtues represents universal positive traits that people use to identify their most important qualities or characteristics.

Finally, there are a number of self-views (e.g., self-concept, self-esteem, self-efficacy) that have been explored for their relationship to school achievement and life success. These differ from other measures discussed above in that they are conceptualized as being constructed by the individual through reflection on one's interaction with his or her environment. Initially, it was thought that measures of these constructs related to the cognitive, affective, and volitional domains respectively (Bandura, 1994; Campbell, 1990; Kernis, 2003; Marsh, & Hattie, 1996). However, later research showed that there are components of each of these domains in each of the measures (Swann, Chang-Schneider, & McClary, 2007). Swann et al. advocated that a better conceptualization of self-views would relate to the specificity of the view and its relationship to an appropriate level of generalization. For example, if a researcher wanted to predict how an individual's self-view related to a general outcome of life success, then the appropriate predictor would be a general measure of self-concept or self-esteem. On the other hand, if one were looking for a relationship between a self-view and academic achievement, a measure of academic self-concept or self-esteem would be most appropriate. Finally, if one were trying to predict success on a specific task, then a measure of self-efficacy related to the specific task would be best. Most importantly, research over the past two decades has shown that attempting to raise a student's general self-concept or self-esteem through involvement in non-academic tasks bears absolutely no relationship to how well one does academically (Baumeister, Campbell, Krueger, & Vohs, 2003).

Summary and Conclusions

Having just read a somewhat brief overview of research relating to the process of actualizing capacities, a parent or educator might ask the question: "What are we supposed to do?" In my opinion the more fundamental question is: "What goals or desired outcomes do we have for our children and youth?" Having considered this issue in detail for over a decade (e.g., Huitt, 1995, 1997, 2004, 2006a, 2007), I agree with such authors as Park (2003) and believe the aspirations adults have for young people can be summarized in four categories: to become good, smart, happy, and healthy. I believe parents and educators also want young people to be prepared to provide service to others, recognizing that doing good is just as important as doing well (Coplin 2000). Sternberg (2004) said something quite similar in his advocacy for the development of wisdom as a primary goal of one's life.

Wisdom is the use of one's intelligence and experience as mediated by values toward the achievement of a common good through a balance among (1) intrapersonal, (2) interpersonal, and (3) extrapersonal interests, over the (1) short and (2) long terms, to achieve a balance among (1) adaptation to existing environments, (2) shaping of existing environments, and (3) selection of new environments (p. 164).

As educators consider the appropriate role for schools in the development of children and youth, approaches to curricula, classroom practice, and assessment instruments and methods can be summarized in terms of four major categories. The first is an "Academics and/or Technical Skills Only" category which considers the school as the place for the development of knowledge and skills related to academic disciplines (e.g., Hirsch, 1996) or vocational/technical skills (e.g., Losh, 2000). Educators and parents who adopt this approach are quite comfortable with only using standardized tests of basic skills or standardized protocols for technical/vocational skills as

ways to measure school success. Huitt, Huitt, Monetti, and Hummel (2009) provided an overview of research that can provide a framework for action for educators with a basic academic skills perspective. Gemici and Rojewski (2007) and Lewis (2008) report on similar efforts in the area of vocational-technical skills development. The appropriateness of any school-based activities related to the development of capacities discussed in the present paper would be judged in terms of the influence they might have on the improvement of academic test scores or demonstrations of vocational-technical skills. While educators with this perspective might agree that the development of additional capacities is important, they would leave that to parents and religious or other community organizations.

A second category might be labeled “Academics Plus”. Educators adopting this approach hypothesize that academic success can be developed through instruction directly focused on the desired academic knowledge and skills, but additional gains can be obtained through addressing cognitive processing skills with the goal of developing disciplined minds (Gardner, 2000b). The programs developed by Feuerstein et al. (1980), Sternberg and his colleagues (Sternberg, 1996; Sternberg et al., 2000; Sternberg, & Grigorenko, 2000), or Wegener (2005) are examples of programs developed within this approach. Each provides specific recommendations, including materials and teacher training, that address the cognitive processing skills used in learning academic content. Again, the development of capacities in additional domains would be left to others.

A third category might be labeled “Partial Holistic”. Educators adopting this approach recognize the importance of the knowledge and skills associated with achievement in the academic disciplines, but believe the research on developing competencies in additional domains warrants that school resources be devoted to actualizing potential in at least one domain in addition to the cognitive domain. The habits of mind (Costa and Kallick, 2008), SEL (e.g., CASEL, 2003, 2007), or moral character (e.g., Narvaez, 2006, 2007, 2008a, 2008b) programs will likely meet the goals of such educators. The advantage of these approaches is that they simultaneously focus on actualizing cognitive, emotional, conative, and social intelligences as defined in this paper. CASEL’s and Narvaez’s approaches have the added bonus of focusing on developing moral intelligence. However, the programs focused on emotional development (e.g., Deham (1998), Saarni, 2007), subjective well-being (e.g., Park et al., 2004), self-regulation (e.g., Debrowski et al., 2001; Zimmerman, 2002), movement education (e.g., Dobbins et al, 2001, 2009), and spiritual development (Kessler, 2000) can also be considered as belonging within this category.

The fourth category might be labeled “Holistic” in that educators adopting this perspective focus on actualizing a majority of the capacities described in this paper. Examples of such programs can be found in Reggio Emilia (e.g., Caldwell, 1997; Kinney, & Wharton, 2008), Montessori (e.g., Cossentino, 2009; Hainstock, 1997), Waldorf (see <http://www.whywaldorfworks.org/>), the International Primary Curriculum (see <http://www.internationalprimarycurriculum.com/>), and the three International Baccalaureate programs (see <http://www.ibo.org/>). Additionally, the Asset Development framework advocated by the Search Institute (Benson, Galbraith, & Espeland, 1994; Lerner, & Benson, 2003; Roehlkepartain et al., 2003) fits well within this perspective. Each of these programs has a different focus or emphasis, yet they separate themselves from others by implementing a whole-school, integrated approach to holistic development of children or youth.

Table 3 provides a brief of overview of the types of school-based curricula and programs that might be found in each category.

Table 3. Categories of Curricula and Approaches to Schooling

Category	Desired Outcomes	Examples
Academics and/or Technical Skills Only	Academic Knowledge and/or Technical Skills	Most state- or nationally-mandated curricula focused on reading/language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies; vocational-technical curricula focus on specific job-related skills
Academics and/or Technical Skills Plus	Academics Knowledge and/or Technical Skills plus Cognitive Processing Skills	Instrumental Enrichment, Sternberg’s Analytic, Creative, and Practical Intelligence, Wegner’s Process Skills
Partial Holistic	Academics and Cognitive Processing plus at least one additional focus	Habits of Mind, Emotional Development, Moral Character, Social Emotional Learning, Subjective Well-Being, Self-Regulation, Movement Education, Pathways to the Soul
Holistic	Academics plus a majority of the Brilliant Star domains	Reggio Emilia, Waldorf, International Primary Curriculum, International Baccalaureate (PYP, MYP, DP), Search Institute’s External and Internal Asset Development

Getting Started

In a review of research related to improving scores on standardized tests of basic skill, Huitt et al. (2009) recommended that the first step was the formation and development of high functioning faculty teams using the work of Losada (2008a & b) as a basic component of developing a learning organization (Senge, 1990). The same first step applies to using the research outlined in this paper. A major difference would be that the teams must first consider, with guidance from the administration, the possibility of revisiting the vision and mission statements of the school. If the mission statement of the school is congruent with an “Academics and/or Technical Skills Only” approach to schooling, leading to a coherence among academic goals, curriculum, instructional practices, and traditional assessments, then the teams would begin by reviewing the research synthesized by Hattie (2009) and summarized by Huitt et al. or the research on improving vocational/technical skills instruction.

However, if there is a desire to begin addressing the capacities identified in this paper, it might best be coordinated at the district level. If it does not seem possible to adopt one of the holistic programs discussed above, it might be best to adopt one of the Habits of Mind, SEL, or moral character programs discussed previously. Alternatively, a district might selectively focus on actualizing different capacities at different grade levels. For example, the PreK through second grades might focus on integrating emotional and physical/kinesthetic competencies with academic competence and helping students to make explicit their physical and academic self-views. At the upper elementary (grades three through five), teachers might focus on additionally integrating the development of cognitive processing and continue to emphasize their students’ academic and physical/kinesthetic self-views. At the middle school level, teachers might focus on integrating the social and conative/volitional/self-regulation competencies with the academic work. Social self-views would be integrated with previously held academic and physical self-views. Finally, at the high school level, teachers would integrate the development of moral and

spiritual competencies into either the academic or vocational/technical curriculum, and help students integrate different aspects of their personalities and self-views.

Given that actualizing cognitive intelligence accounts for at best a third of the variance related to adult life success (Gardner, 1995; Goleman, 1998; Sternberg, Wagner, Williams, & Horvath, 1995), I believe that schools need to provide leadership in developing the whole person. However, I also recognize there are legitimate reasons why parents would want a school to adopt one of the other three approaches. Ideally, schools would not only provide directed learning experiences that match the desired outcomes parents have for their children and youth, but would also coordinate with families and community to provide a holistic education to all young people. That is, children and youth need to develop capacities, acquire virtues, and provide service as they prepare to be good, smart, happy, and healthy adults regardless of whether or not these are addressed directly in the school curricula. It is also necessary to constantly revisit the school curriculum and practices as young people live in a rapidly changing physical and sociocultural environment that is being recognized as increasingly global in scope.

The idea of having different school curricula and programs available to students on demand might sound utopian, but this is the foundation of the school choice movement that is rapidly gaining wider acceptance (Huitt, 2006b). In a fast-paced, quickly-changing global environment educators, parents, and concerned members of the community must work together to provide the resources children and youth need as they develop towards adulthood (Benson et al., 1994; Coleman, 1988; Lerner, & Benson, 2003). This will only happen if parents and the community agree substantially with the vision, mission, and curricula as well as the instructional and assessment practices of the school. The task is difficult, but doable, and one that the world's children and youth desperately need for the adults in their lives to accomplish.

References

- Adler, M. (1995). *What man has made of man: A study of the consequences of Platonism and positivism in psychology*. Somerset, NJ: Transaction Publishers. (Originally published in 1937).
- Albrecht, K. (2005). *Social intelligence: The new science of success*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Amram, Y. (2007). *The seven dimensions of spiritual intelligence: An ecumenical grounded theory*. Paper presented at the 115th Annual Conference of the American Psychological Association, San Francisco, CA, August. Retrieved February 2010, from http://www.yosiamram.net/docs/7_Dimensions_of_SI_APA_confr_paper_Yosi_Amram.pdf
- Amram, Y., & Dryer, (2008). *The Integrated Spiritual Intelligence Scale (ISIS): Development and preliminary validation*. Paper presented at the 116th Annual Conference of the American Psychological Association, Boston, MA. August 14-17. Retrieved February 2010, from http://www.yosiamram.net/docs/ISIS_APA_Paper_Presentation_2008_08_17.pdf
- Aronson, E. (2007). *The social animal* (10th ed.). Worth Publishers.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1989). The concept of agency in social-cognitive theory. *American Psychologist*, 44, 1175-1184.

- Bandura, A. (1991). Social cognitive theory of moral thought and action. In W. Kurtines & J. Gewirtz (Eds.), *Handbook of moral behavior and development* (Vol. 1, 45-103). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.ffst.hr/~berislav/phed/BanSCTMoral.pdf>
- Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy. In V. S. Ramachaudran (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of human behavior* (Vol. 4, pp. 71-81). New York: Academic Press. (Reprinted in H. Friedman [Ed.], *Encyclopedia of mental health*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1998). Retrieved March 2010, from <http://www.des.emory.edu/mfp/BanEncy.html>
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 1-26.
- Bar-On, R., Maree, J., & Elias, M. (Eds.). (2007). *Educating people to be emotionally intelligent*. Westpoint, CT: Praeger.
- Battistich, V. (2003). Effects of a school-based program to enhance prosocial development on children's peer relations and social adjustment. *Journal of Research in Character Education*, 1(1), 1-16.
- Baumeister, R. F., Campbell, J. D., Krueger, J. I., & Vohs, K. D. (2003). Does high self-esteem cause better performance, interpersonal success, happiness, or healthier lifestyles? *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 4, 1-44.
- Baumeister, R., & Vohs, K. (Eds.). (2007). *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory, and applications*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Benavot, A., & Resnik, J. (2007). Lessons from the past: A comparative socio-historical analysis of primary and secondary education. In A. Benavot, J. Resnik, and J. Corrales, *Global educational expansion: Historical legacies and historical obstacles*. Cambridge, MA: American Academy of Arts and Sciences. Retrieved March 2010, from <http://www.amacad.org/publications/Benavot.pdf>
- Ben-Hur, M. (2000). Feuerstein's Instrumental Enrichment: Better learning for better students. *New Horizons for Learning*. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.newhorizons.org/strategies/ie/hur.htm>
- Benson, P., Galbraith, J., & Espeland, P. (1994). *What kids need to succeed: Proven, practical ways to raise good kids*. Minneapolis: Free Spirit Publishing.
- Berkowitz, M., & Grych, J. (1998). Fostering goodness: Teaching parents to facilitate children's moral development. *Journal of Moral Education*, 27(3), 371-391. Retrieved May 2010, from <http://parenthood.library.wisc.edu/Berkowitz/Berkowitz.html>
- Blasi, A. (1993). The development of identity: Some implications for moral functioning. In G. Naom, & T. Wren (Eds.), *The moral self* (99-122). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Blumenfeld-Jones, D. (2009). Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence and dance education: Critique, revision, and potentials for the democratic ideal. *Journal of Aesthetic Education*, 43(1), 59-76.
- Bodine, R., & Crawford, D. (1999). *The handbook of conflict resolution education: A guide to building quality programs in schools*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Boekaerts, M., Pintrich, P., and Zeidner, M. (2000). *Handbook of self-regulation*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Bono, G. & Froh, J. (2009). Gratitude in school: Benefits to students and schools. In R. Gilman, E. Huebner, & M. Furlong (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology in the schools* (pp. 77-88). New York: Routledge.

- Borba, M. (2002). *Building moral intelligence: The seven essential virtues that teach kids to do the right thing*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Buckley, M., & Saarni, C. (2009). Emotion regulation: Implications for positive youth development. In R. Gilman, E. Huebner, & M. Furlong (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology in the schools* (pp. 107-118). New York: Routledge.
- Bushaw, W., & Gallup, A. (2008). Americans speak out—Are educators and policy makers listening? The 40th annual Phi Delta Kappan/Gallup Poll on the public's attitudes toward public schools. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 90(1), 9-20.
- Caldwell, L. (1997). *Bringing Reggio Emilia home: An innovative approach to early childhood education*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Caldwell, M., & Huitt, W. (2004). An overview of physical development. *Educational Psychology Interactive*. Valdosta, GA. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/physdevelop.pdf>
- Campbell, J. D. (1990). Self-esteem and clarity of the self-concept. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59(3), 538-549.
- Campbell, J. J. (1972). *The hero with a thousand faces*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Carney, D., Jost, J., Gosling, S., & Potter, J. (2008). The secret lives of liberals and conservatives: Personality profiles, interaction styles, and things they leave behind. *Political Psychology*, 29(6), 807-840.
- Carter, J., Wiecha, J., Peterson, K., Nobrega, S., & Gortmaker, S. (2007). *Planet health: An interdisciplinary curriculum for teaching middle school nutrition and physical activity* (2nd ed.). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics. (see <http://www.planet-health.org/>)
- Cleary, T., & Zimmerman, B. (2004). Self-regulated empowerment program: A school-based program to enhance self-regulated and self-motivated cycles of student learning. *Psychology in the Schools*, 41(5), 537-550.
- Cohen, J. (2006). Social, emotional, ethical, and academic education: Creating a climate for learning, participation in democracy, and well-being. *Harvard Educational Review*, 76(2), 201–237.
- Coleman, J. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, S95-S120.
- Coles, R. (1996). *The moral life of children*. New York: Atlantic Monthly Press.
- Coles, R. (1998). *The moral intelligence of children: How to raise a moral child*. New York: Plume.
- Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). (2003). *Safe and sound: An educational leader's guide to evidence-based social and emotional learning programs*. Retrieved February 2010, from http://www.casel.org/downloads/Safe%20and%20Sound/1A_Safe_&_Sound.pdf
- Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). (2007). *What is SEL? Skills and competencies*. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.casel.org/basics/skills.php>
- Cook-Cottone, C., Casey, C., Feeley, T., & Baran, J. (2009). A meta-analytic review of obesity prevention in the schools: 1997-2008). *Psychology in the Schools*, 46(8), 695-719.
- Cooper, A., & Holmes, L. (2006). *Lunch lessons: Changing the way we feed our children*. New York: HarperCollins (see <http://www.chefann.com/>)
- Cooper, J., Masi, R., & Vick, J. (2009). *Social-emotional development in early childhood: What every policymaker should know*. New York: National Center for Children in Poverty

- (NCCP). Retrieved February 2010, from http://www.nccp.org/publications/pdf/text_882.pdf
- Cooper, K. (1999). *Fit kids! The complete shape-up program from birth through high school*. Nashville, TN: Broadman and Holman Publishers.
- Cooper, S. (1997). *The clinical use and interpretation of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for children* (3rd ed.). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas Publisher.
- Coplin, W. (2000). *How you can help: An easy guide to doing good deeds in your everyday life*. New York: Routledge.
- Cossentino, J. (2009). Culture, craft, and coherence: The unexpected vitality of Montessori teacher training. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 60(5), 520-527
- Costa, A., & Kallick, B. (2008). *Learning and leading with habits of mind: 16 essential characteristics for success*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1998). *Finding flow: The psychology of engagement with everyday life*. New York: Basic Books.
- Damon, W. (2004). What is positive youth development? *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 591, 13-24.
- Daniels, S., Jacobson, M., McCrindle, B., Eckel, R., & Sanner, B. (2009). American Heart Association childhood obesity research summit report. *Circulation*, 119, e489-e517. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192216>
- Debowski, S., Wood, R., & Bandura, A. (2001). Impact of guided exploration and enactive exploration on self-regulatory mechanisms and information acquisition through electronic search. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 1129-1141.
- Denham, S. (1998). *Emotional development in young children*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Derryberry, D., & Reed, M. (1994). Temperament and the self-organization of personality. *Development and Psychopathology*, 6(3), 653-676.
- Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin*, 95(3), 542-575.
- Diener, E., Suh, E., Lucas, R., & Smith, H. (1999). Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125(2), 276-302.
- Dobbins, M., DeCorby, K., Robeson, P., Hussen, H., & Tinlis, D. (2009). School-based physical activity programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6-18. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 1.
- Dobbins, M., Lockett, D., Michel, I., Beyers, J., Feldman, L., Vohra, J., & Micucci, S. (2001). *The effectiveness of school-based interventions in promoting physical activity and fitness among children and youth: A systematic review*. Dundas, Ontario, CA: Effective Public Health Practice Project, City of Hamilton, Social and Public Health Services. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.nhsru.com/documents/Physical-Activity-Review.pdf>
- Dunbar, R. (1998). The social brain hypothesis. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, 6, 178-90. Retrieved February 2010, from http://psych.colorado.edu/~tito/sp03/7536/Dunbar_1998.pdf
- Durkheim, E. (1961). *Moral education* (trans. Everett K. Wilson and Herman Schaurer). New York: The Free Press.
- Edwards, J., Lanning, K., & Hooker, K. (2002). The MBTI and social information processing: An incremental validity study. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 78(3), 432-450.

- Elam, S., Rose, L., & Gallup, A. (1992). The 24th Annual Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 74(1), 41-53.
- Elias, M. J., & Arnold, H. (Eds.). (2006). *The educator's guide to emotional intelligence and academic achievement: Social emotional learning in the classroom*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Elkind, D., & Sweet, F. (2004). *How to do character education*. San Francisco, CA: Live Wire Media. Retrieved April 2010, from http://www.goodcharacter.com/Article_4.html
- Emmons, R. (2000). Is spirituality an intelligence? Motivation, cognition, and the psychology of ultimate concern. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 10(1), 3-26.
- Epstein, J. L., & Sanders, M. G. (2000). Connecting home, school, and community: New directions for social research. In M. Hallinan (Ed.), *Handbook of the sociology of education* (pp. 285-306). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Erikson, E. (1950). *Childhood and society*. New York: Norton.
- Erikson, E. (1993). *Childhood and society*. New York: Norton. (Originally published in 1950).
- Erikson, E. (1994). *Insight and responsibility*. New York: Norton. (Originally published in 1964).
- Eshel, Y., & Kohavi, R. (2003). Perceived classroom control, self-regulated learning strategies, and academic achievement. *Educational Psychology*, 23, 249-260.
- Feuerstein, R. (1979). *The dynamic assessment of retarded performers*. Baltimore, MD: University Park Press.
- Feuerstein, R., Rand, Y., Hoffman, M., & Miller, R. (1980). *Instrumental enrichment: An intervention program in cognitive modifiability*. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Flory, K., Lynam, D., & Milich, R. (2002). The relations among personality, symptoms of alcohol and marijuana abuse, and symptoms of comorbid psychopathology: Results from a community sample. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 10, 425-434.
- Frankl, V. (1997). *Man's search for ultimate meaning*. New York: Insight Books.
- Frankl, V. (1998). *Man's search for meaning* (Rev. ed.). New York: Washington Square Press. [first published in Germany in 1946]
- Freud, S. (1990). *The ego and the id*. (Published in J. Strachey (Ed), J. Riviere (trans.). *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud*). New York: W. W. Norton. (Originally written in 1923).
- Froh, J., Miller, D., & Snyder, S. (2007). Gratitude in children and adolescents: Development, assessment, and school-based intervention. *School Psychology Forum*, 2, 1-13.
- Froh, J., Sefick, W.J., & Emmons, R.A. (2008). Counting blessings in early adolescents: An experimental study of gratitude and subjective well-being. *Journal of School Psychology*.46, 213-233.
- Gallup, G. (1975, December). The seventh annual Gallup Poll of public attitudes toward public schools. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 57, 227-41.
- Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. New York: Basic.
- Gardner, H. (1995). Cracking open the IQ box. In S. Fraser (Ed.), *The bell curve wars* (pp. 23-35). New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, H. (1999). *Intelligence reframed: Multiple intelligences for the 21st century*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, H. (2000a). A case against spiritual intelligence. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 10(1), 27-34.

- Gardner, H. (2000b). *The disciplined mind: Beyond facts and standardized tests: The K-12 education that every child deserves*. New York: Penguin.
- Gardner, H. (2006). *Multiple intelligences: New horizons in theory and practice*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gemici, S., & Rojewski, J. (2007). Evaluating research in career and technical education using scientifically-based research standards. *Career and Technical Education Research*, 32(3), 145-159.
- Geula, K. (2004). *Emotional intelligence and spiritual development*. Paper presented at the Forum for Integrated Education and Educational Reform sponsored by the Council for Global Integrative Education, Santa Cruz, CA, October 28-30. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/CGIE/geula.pdf>
- Gilligan, C. (1977). In a different voice: Women's conceptions of self and morality. *Harvard Educational Review*, 47(4), 481-517.
- Goldin, C., & Katz, L. (1999). The shaping of higher education: The formative years in the United States, 1890-1940. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 13(1), 37-62.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ for character, health and lifelong achievement*. New York: Bantam.
- Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam.
- Goleman, D. (2006). *Social intelligence: The revolutionary new science of human relations*. New York: Bantam.
- Hainstock, E. (1997). *The essential Montessori: An introduction to the woman, the writings, the method, and the movement* (Rev ed.). New York: Plume. [Originally published in 1978].
- Hamacheck, D. (2000). Dynamics of self-understanding and self-knowledge: Acquisition, advantages, and relation to emotional intelligence. *Journal of Humanistic Counseling, Education & Development*, 38(4), 230-243.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. London & New York: Routledge.
- Hauser, M. (2006). *Moral minds: How nature designed our universal sense of right and wrong*. New York: Ecco.
- Hay, D., with Nye, R. (1998). *The spirit of the child*. London: Fount.
- Henderson, A., & Mapp, K. (2002). *A new wave of evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on school achievement*. Austin, TX: National Center for Family & Community Connections with Schools, Southwest Educational Development Laboratory. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.sedl.org/connections/resources/evidence.pdf>
- Hilgard, E. R. (1980). The trilogy of mind: Cognition, affection, and conation. *Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences*, 16, 107-117.
- Hirsch, E. D., Jr. (1996). *The schools we need and why we don't have them*. New York: Doubleday.
- Hodge, D. (2001). Spiritual assessment: A review of major qualitative methods and a new framework for assessing spirituality. *Social Work*, 46(3), 203-214.
- Hoffman, M. (2000). *Empathy and moral development: The implications for caring and justice*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hogan, R., & Emler, N. (1995). Personality and moral development. In W. Kurtines & J. Gewirtz (Eds.), *Moral development: An introduction* (209-227). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

- Huitt, W. (1992). Problem solving and decision making: Consideration of individual differences using the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. *Journal of Psychological Type*, 24, 33-44. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/prbsmbti.html>
- Huitt, W. (1995, November 6). *Success in the information age: A paradigm shift*. Workshop presentation at the Georgia Independent School Association, Atlanta, Georgia. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/infoage.pdf>
- Huitt, W. (1997). The SCANS report revisited. In P. Anderson, *Proceedings from the Fifth Annual Gulf South Business and Vocational Education Conference* [31-32]. Valdosta, GA: Valdosta State University. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/scanspap.pdf>
- Huitt, W. (2004, October). Important values for school-aged children and youth: A preliminary report. *Educational Psychology Interactive*. Valdosta, GA: Valdosta State University. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/brilstar/valuesreport.html>
- Huitt, W. (2006a, April 26). *Becoming a Brilliant Star: A framework for discussing formative holistic education*. Paper presented at the International Networking for Educational Transformation (iNet) Conference, Augusta, GA. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/brilstar/brilstarintro-s.pdf>
- Huitt, W. (2006b, April 25). *Educational accountability in an era of global decentralization*. Paper presented at the International Networking for Educational Transformation (iNet) Conference, Augusta, GA. Retrieved May 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/edaccount.pdf>
- Huitt, W. (2007, October 26). *Success in the conceptual age: Another paradigm shift*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Georgia Educational Research Association, Savannah, GA. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/conceptual-age.pdf>
- Huitt, W. (2009). A systems approach to the study of human behavior. *Educational Psychology Interactive*. Valdosta, GA: Valdosta State University. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/materials/sysmdlo.html>
- Huitt, W., & Cain, S. (2005). An overview of the conative domain. *Educational Psychology Interactive*. Valdosta, GA: Valdosta State University. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/conative.pdf>
- Huitt, W., & Robbins, J. (2003). *An introduction to spiritual development*. Paper presented at the 11th Annual Conference: Applied Psychology in Education, Mental Health, and Business, Valdosta, GA, October 3. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/spirituality.pdf>
- Huitt, W., Huitt, M., Monetti, D., & Hummel, J. (2009). *A systems-based synthesis of research related to improving students' academic performance*. Paper presented at the 3rd International City Break Conference sponsored by the Athens Institute for Education and Research (AITNER), Athens, Greece, October 16-19. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.edpsychinteractive.org/papers/improving-student-achievement-s.pdf>
- Hyson, M. (2003). *The emotional development of young children: Building an emotion-centered curriculum* (2nd ed.). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Isen, A. (2008). Some ways in which positive affect influences decision making and problem solving. In M. Lewis & J. Haviland-Jones, *Handbook of emotions* (3rd ed.) (pp. 548-573). New York: Guilford Press.

- Jensen, A. (2002). Psychometric g: Definition and substantiation. In R. Sternberg, & E. Grigorenko (Eds.). *The general factor of intelligence: How general is it?* (pp. 39–53). Mahwah, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Joo, Y., Bong, M., & Choi, H. (2000). Self-efficacy for self-regulated learning, academic self-efficacy and internet self-efficacy in web-based instruction. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 48*, 5–17.
- Judge, T., Bono, J., Ilies, R., & Gerhardt, M. (2002). Personality and leadership: A qualitative and quantitative review. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*(4), 765-780.
- Jung, K. (1971). *Psychological types*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. (Originally published in 1921).
- Katz, D. (2005). Competing dietary claims for weight loss: Finding the forest through the truculent trees. *Annual Review of Public Health, 26*, 61-88.
- Keirsey, D. (1998). *Please understand me II: Temperament, character, intelligence*. Del Mar, CA: Prometheus Nemesis Book Company.
- Kennedy, R. B., & Kennedy, D. A. (2004). Using the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator® in career counseling. *Journal of Employment Counseling, 41*(1), 38-44.
- Kernis, M. H. (2003). Toward a conceptualization of optimal self-esteem. *Psychological Inquiry, 14*, 1–26.
- Kessler, R. (2000). *The soul of education: Helping students find connection, compassion, and character in school*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. (see <http://passageworks.org/index.php/resources/soul-of-education>)
- Kinney, L., & Wharton, P. (2008). *An encounter with Reggio Emilia: Children's early learning made visible*. New York: Routledge.
- Kogan, S. (2004). *Step by step: A complete movement education curriculum* (2nd ed.). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Kohlberg, L. (1984). *Essays on moral development: The psychology of moral development*. Vol. 2. San Francisco: Harper & Row.
- Kolbe, K. (1990). *The conative connection*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. (see <http://www.kolbe.com/knowYourChildren/improve-your-parenting.cfm>)
- Kroeger, O., & Thuesen, J. (1989). *Type talk: The 16 personality types that determine how we live, love, and work*. New York: Dell.
- Kurzweil, R. (2001). The law of accelerating returns. *The Singularity*. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.kurzweilai.net/meme/frame.html?main=/articles/art0134.html>
- Lawrence, G. (1984). A synthesis of learning style research involving the MBTI. *Journal of Psychological Type, 8*, 2-15.
- Lazarus, R. (1999). The cognition-emotion debate: A bit of history. In T. Dalgleish & M. Power (Eds.), *Handbook of cognition and emotion* (pp. 3-20). New York: Wiley.
- Lerner, R., & Benson, P. (Eds.). (2003). *Developmental assets and asset-building communities: Implications for research, policy, and practice*. New York: Springer.
- Lewis, C., McTigue, K., Burke, L., Poirier, P., Eckel, R., Howard, B., Allison, D., Kumanyika, S., & Pi-Sunyer, F. X. (2009). Mortality, health outcomes, and body mass index in the overweight range: A science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation, 119*, 3263-3271. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192574>

- Lewis, M., Haviland-Jones, J., & Barrett, F. (Eds.). (2008). *Handbook of emotions* (3rd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Lewis, M. V. (2008). Effectiveness of previous initiatives similar to programs of study: Tech Prep, career pathways, and youth apprenticeships. *Career and Technical Education Research, 33*(3), 165-188.
- Lickona, T., Schaps, E., & Lewis, C. (2003). *Character Education Partnership's Eleven principles of effective character education*. Washington, DC: Character Education Partnership. Retrieved April 2010, from http://www.character.org/uploads/PDFs/Eleven_Principles.pdf
- Liu, E., & Noppe-Brandon, S. (2009). *Imagination first: Unlocking the power of possibility*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Liu, Y., & Ipe, M. (2010). How do they become nodes? Revisiting team member network centrality. *The Journal of Psychology, 144*(3), 243-258.
- Losada, M. (2008a, December 8). Want to flourish: Stay in the zone. *Positive Psychology News Daily*. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://pos-psych.com/news/guest-author/200812081289>
- Losada, M. (2008b, December 9). Work teams and the Losada line: New results. *Positive Psychology News Daily*. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://pos-psych.com/news/guest-author/200812091298>
- Losh, C. (2000). Using skill standards for vocational-technical education curriculum development. Columbus, OH: ERIC Clearinghouse on Adult, Career, and Vocational Education. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.v-tecs.org/documents/Using%20Skill%20Standards.pdf>
- Lyubomirsky, S. (2007). *The how of happiness: A scientific approach to getting the life you want*. New York: Penguin Press.
- Marsh, H. W., & Hattie, J. (1996). Theoretical perspectives on the structure of self-concept. In B. A. Bracken (Ed.), *Handbook of self-concept* (pp. 38–90). New York: Wiley.
- Maslow, A. (1971). *The farther reaches of human nature*. New York: The Viking Press.
- Matthews, G., Emo, A., Roberts, R., & Zeidner, M. (2006). What is this thing called emotional intelligence. In K. Murphy (Ed.), *A critique of emotional intelligence: What are the problems and how can they be fixed?* (pp. 3-35). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Matthews, G., Roberts, R. D. & Zeidner, M. (2004). Seven myths about emotional intelligence. *Psychological Inquiry, 15*(3), 179-196.
- Maurer, M., & Brackett, M. A., & Plain, F. (2004). *Emotional literacy in the middle school: A six-step program to promote social, emotional, and academic learning*. Portchester, New York: National Professional Resources.
- Mayer, J. (2000). Spiritual intelligence or spiritual consciousness? *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 10*(1), 47-56.
- Mayer, J., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence. In P. Salovey D. Sluyter (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications* (pp. 3-31). New York: Basic Books.
- McCombs, B. (1991). Motivation and lifelong learning. *Educational Psychologist, 26*(2), 117-128.
- McCrae, R., & Costa, P., Jr. (1997). Personality trait structure as a human universal. *American Psychologist, 52*, 509-516.

- McGreevy, A., & Copley, S. (1998, December/1999, January). Spirituality and education: Nurturing connections in schools and classrooms. *Classroom Leadership Online*, 2(4). Retrieved February 2010, from http://www.public.asu.edu/~cpowers/Spirituality%20and%20Education%20--%20by%20Ann%20M_%20McGreevy%20and%20Susan%20H_%20Copley.htm
- Merrell, K. (1993). Using behavior rating scales to assess social skills and antisocial behavior in school settings. *School Psychology Review*, 22(1), 115-133.
- Miele, F. (1996). The (im)moral animal: A quick & dirty guide to evolutionary psychology & the nature of human nature. *Skeptic*, 4(1), 42-49.
- Myers, D. (2000). *The American paradox: Spiritual hunger in an age of plenty*. Yale University Press.
- Myers, I. B. (1995). *Gifts differing: Understanding personality type*. Mountain View, CA: Davies-Black Publishing. (Originally published in 1980).
- Narvaez, D. (2006). Integrative ethical education. In M. Killen & J. Smetana (Eds.), *Handbook of moral development* (pp. 703-733). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www.nd.edu/~dnarvaez/Narvaez%20HMD%2009.14.pdf>
- Narvaez, D. (2007). How cognitive and neurobiological sciences inform values education for creatures like us. In D. Aspin & J. Chapman (Eds.), *Values education and lifelong learning: Philosophy, policy, practices*. Springer Press International. Retrieved January 2010, from <http://www.nd.edu/~dnarvaez/documents/NARVAEZCreatureslikeus.pdf>
- Narvaez, D. (2008a). Human flourishing and moral development: Cognitive and perspectives of virtue development. In L. Nucci & D. Narvaez, *Handbook of moral and character education* (pp. 310-327). New York: Routledge.
- Narvaez, D. (2008b). Triune ethics: The neurobiological roots of our multiple moralities. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 26, 95-119. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.nd.edu/~dnarvaez/documents/TriuneEthicsTheory0725071.pdf>
- National Commission on Excellence in Education, The. (1983). *A nation at risk: The imperative for educational reform*. Washington, DC: U. S. Department of Education. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://www2.ed.gov/pubs/NatAtRisk/title.html>
- Neber, H., & Schommer-Aikins, M. (2002). Self-regulated science learning with highly gifted students: The role of cognitive, motivational, epistemological, and environmental variables. *High Ability Studies*, 13, 59-74.
- Nelson, A., Cleary, T., & Platten, P. (2008). Effectiveness of the self-regulation empowerment program with urban high school students. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 20(1), 70-107.
- Oakland, T., & Joyce, D. (2004). Temperament-based learning styles and school-based applications. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 19(1-2), 59-74.
- Oakland, T., Stafford, M., Horton, C., & Glutting, J. (2001). Temperament and vocational preferences: Age, gender, and racial-ethnic comparisons using the Student Styles Questionnaire. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 9(3), 297-314.
- Oliver, J. (2009). *Jamie's food revolution: Rediscover how to cook simple, delicious, affordable meals*. New York: Hyperion. (see http://www.ted.com/talks/jamie_oliver.html)
- Ornish, D. (2007). *The spectrum: A scientifically proven program to feel better, live longer, lose weight, and gain health*. New York: Ballantine Books

- Pajares, F., & Graham, L. (1999). Self-efficacy, motivation constructs, and mathematics performance of entering middle school students. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 24, 124-139.
- Palmer, P. (1998/1999). Evoking the spirit in public education. *Educational Leadership*, 56(4), 6-11. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.couragerenewal.org/parker/writings/evoking-the-spirit>
- Palmer, P. (2003). Teaching with heart and soul: Reflections on spirituality in teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 54(5), 376-385. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.couragerenewal.org/parker/writings/heart-and-soul>
- Pargament, K., & Mahoney, A. (2002). Spirituality: Discovering and conserving the sacred. In C. Snyder and S. Lopez (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology* (pp. 646-659). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Park, N. (2003). Character strengths and positive youth development. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 591, 40-54.
- Park, N., Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2004). Strengths of character and well-being. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 23, 603-619.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills, The. (2009). *The MILE guide: Milestones for improving learning and education*. Retrieved February 2010, from http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/documents/MILE_Guide_091101.pdf
- Patrikakou, E., & Weissberg, R. (2007). School-family partnerships to enhance children's social, emotional, and academic learning. In R. Bar-on, J. Maree, & M. Elias, *Educating people to be emotionally intelligent* (pp. 49-77). Westport, CT: Praeger Publishers
- Perels, F., Guertler, T., & Schmitz, B. (2005). Training of self-regulatory and problem-solving competence. *Learning and Instruction*, 15(2), 123-139.
- Perry, N. E. (1999). Young children's self-regulated learning and contexts that support it. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 715-729.
- Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2004). *Character strengths and virtues: A handbook and classification*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Peterson, C., Park, N., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2005). Orientations to happiness and life satisfaction: The full life versus the empty life. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 6, 25-41.
- Piaget, J. (1969). *The moral judgment of the child*. Glencoe, IL: Free Press. (Originally published 1932).
- Roehlkepartain, E., Benson, P., & Sesma, A. (2003). *Signs of progress in putting children first: Developmental assets among youth in St. Louis Park, 1997-2001*. Minneapolis, MN: Search Institute. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.search-institute.org/system/files/SignsofProgress-5-03.pdf>
- Roehlkepartain, E., Ebstyn, P., Wagener, L., & Benson, P. (Eds.). (2006). *The handbook of spiritual development in childhood and adolescence*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Rothbart, M. K., & Hwang, J. (2005). Temperament and the development of competence and motivation. In A. Elliot, C. Dweck (Eds.), *Handbook of competence & motivation* (pp. 167-184). New York: Guilford Press.
- Rousseau, J. (1979). *Emile* (A. Bloom, Trans.). New York: Basic Books. (Original work published in 1762).

- Saarni, C. (1997). Emotional competence and self-regulation in childhood. In P. Salovey D. Sluyter (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications* (pp. 35-66). New York: Basic Books.
- Saarni, C. (1999). *The development of emotional competence*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Saarni, C. (2007). The development of emotional competence: Pathways for helping children to become emotionally intelligent. In R. Bar-On, J. Maree, & M. Elias. (Eds.), *Educating people to be emotionally intelligent* (pp. 15-35). Westpoint, CT: Praeger.
- Salovey, P. & Mayer, J.D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition, and Personality*, 9, 185-211.
- Schlegel, A., & Barry, H. III. (1980). The evolutionary significance of adolescent initiation ceremonies. *American Ethologist*, 7, 696-715.
- Schunk, D., & Zimmerman, B. (Eds.). (1994). *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational application*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Schunk, D., & Zimmerman, B. (Eds.). (1998). *Self-regulated learning: From teaching to self-reflective practice*. New York: Guilford Press
- Seligman (2002). *Authentic happiness: Using the new positive psychology to realize your potential for lasting fulfillment*. New York: Free Press.
- Senge, P. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art & practice of the learning organization*. New York: Doubleday/Currency.
- Skinner, B. F. (1971). *Beyond freedom and dignity*. New York: Knopf.
- Smith, G. (2008). *The Jamie Oliver effect: The man, the food, the revolution* (2nd ed.). London: Andre Deutsch.
- Stack, D., Serbin, L., Enns, L., Ruttle, P., & Barrieau, L. (2010). Parental effects on children's emotional development over time and across generations. *Infants and Young Children*, 23(1), 52-69.
- Sternberg, R. (1985). *Beyond IQ: A triarchic theory of human intelligence*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sternberg, R. (1996). *Successful intelligence: How practical and creative intelligence determine success in life*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Sternberg, R. (2004). What is wisdom and how can we develop it? *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 591, 164-174.
- Sternberg, R., & Grigorenko, E. (2000). *Teaching for successful intelligence*. Arlington Heights, IL: Skylight Training and Publishing Inc.
- Sternberg, R., Forsythe, G., Hedlund, J., Horvath, J., Wagner, R., Williams, W., Snook, S., & Grigorenko, E. (2000). *Practical intelligence for everyday life*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sternberg, R., Wagner, T., Williams, W., & Horvath, J. (1995). Testing common sense. *American Psychologist*, 50(11), 912-927.
- Swann, W., Chang-Schneider, C., & McClarty, K. (2007). Do people's self-views matter? *American Psychologist*, 62(2), 84-94. Retrieved April 2010, from <http://homepage.psy.utexas.edu/HomePage/Faculty/swann/docu/swaampsy.pdf>
- Tallon, A. (1997). *Head and heart: Affection, cognition, volition as triune consciousness*. New York: Fordham University.
- Tate, N. (2008). *What do children in the 21st century really need to learn?* Presentation at the International Primary Curriculum Conference, International School of Geneva, October 4.

- Tosun, L., & Lajunen, T. (2009). Why do young adults develop a passion for internet activities? The associations among personality, revealing “true self” on the internet, and passion for the internet. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 12(4), 401-406.
- Trost, S. (2009). *Active education: Physical education, physical activity, and academic performance*. San Diego, CA: Active Living Research. Retrieved March 2010, from <http://www.rwjf.org/files/research/20090925alractiveeducation.pdf>
- Ulanowicz, R. (2009). *A third window: Natural life beyond Newton and Darwin*. West Conshohocken, PA: Templeton University Press.
- Vessels, G., & Huitt, W. (2005). *Moral and character development*. Paper presented at the National Youth at Risk Conference, Savannah, GA, March 8-10. Retrieved March 2010, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/chardev.pdf>
- Visser, B., Ashton, M., & Vernon, P. (2008). What makes you think you're so smart: Measured abilities, personality, and sex differences in relation to self-estimates of multiple intelligences. *Journal of Individual Differences*, 29(1), 35-44.
- Wegener, D. (2005). *The learning ladder: Escalating student achievement with deep alignment and process skills*. Phoenix, AZ: Learning 24/7.
- Wikeley, F., Bullock, K., Muschamp, Y., & Ridge, T. (2007). Educational relationships outside school: Why access is important. York, UK: Joseph Rowntree Foundation. Retrieved February 2010, from <http://www.jrf.org.uk/sites/files/jrf/2027-education-poverty-activities.pdf>
- Willett, W., Skerrett, P., & Giovannucci, E. (2001). *Eat drink and be healthy: The Harvard Medical School Guide to healthy eating*. New York: Simon & Schuster. (see <http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/nutritionsource/index.html>)
- Williams, W., Blythe, T., Li, J., White, N., Sternberg, R., & Gardner, H. (1997). *Practical intelligence for school*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Williams, W., Markle, F., Brigockas, M., & Sternberg, R. (2002). *Creative intelligence for schools (CIFS). 21 lessons to enhance creativity in middle and high school students*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Wynne, E. (1986). The great tradition in education: Transmitting moral values. *Educational Leadership*, 43, 4-9.
- Yap, M., Allen, N., Leve, C., & Katz, L. (2008). Maternal meta-emotion philosophy and socialization of adolescent affect: The moderating role of adolescent temperament. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 22(5), 688-700.
- Zimmerman, B. (1998). Developing self-fulfilling cycles of academic regulation: An analysis of exemplary instructional models. In D. Schunk & B. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Self-regulated learning* (pp. 1-19). New York: Guilford.
- Zimmerman, B. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64-70.
- Zimmerman, B., Bonner, S., & Kovach, R. (1996). *Developing self-regulated learners: Beyond achievement to self-efficacy*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Zins, J., Payton, J., Weissberg, R., & O'Brien, M. (2007). Social and emotional learning for successful school performance. In G. Matthews, M. Zeidner, & R. D. Roberts (Eds.), *The science of emotional intelligence: Knowns and unknowns* (pp. 376-395). New York: Oxford University Press.

Zins, J., Weissberg, R., Wang, M., & Walberg, H. (Eds.). (2004). *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?* New York: Teachers College Press.

Zohar, D., & Marshall, I. (2000). *SQ: Spiritual intelligence: The ultimate intelligence*. New York: Bloomsbury USA.