

Analyzing Paradigms Used in Education and Schooling

Citation: Huitt, W. (2011). Analyzing paradigms used in education and schooling. *Educational Psychology Interactive*. Valdosta, GA: Valdosta State University. Retrieved [date] from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/intro/paradigm.html> [pdf file]

A [paradigm](#) may be thought of as pattern or model of how something is structured (the parts and their interrelationships) or how the parts function (behavior within a specific context or time dimension). Kuhn (1962) defined scientific paradigms as "accepted examples of actual scientific practice, examples which include law, theory, application, and instrumentation together--[that] provide models from which spring particular coherent traditions of scientific research....Men whose research is based on shared paradigms are committed to the same rules and standards for scientific practice" (p. 10).

Both Harmon (1970) and Baker (1992), writing from the perspective of analyzing past and present activity to predict future trends, built on Kuhn's definition. Harmon defined a paradigm as "the basic way of perceiving, thinking, valuing, and doing associated with a particular vision of reality..." (p. 5), while Baker defined a paradigm as "a set of rules and regulations (written or unwritten) that does two things: (1) it establishes or defines boundaries; and (2) it tells you how to behave inside those boundaries in order to be successful.

Finally, Capra (1996), drawing on previous definitions, defined a paradigm as "a constellation of concepts, values, perceptions and practices shared by a community, which forms a particular vision of reality that is the basis of the way a community organizes itself" (p. 6).

Individuals have paradigms that cover many aspects of life such as what kind of car to buy or what kind of food to eat. One of the most important paradigms, however, is one's [worldview](#), a set of constructed perceptions and ideas about how the world works. According to [Aerts et al.](#) (1994), a worldview is a "coherent collection of concepts and theorems that must allow us to construct a global image of the world, and in this way to understand as many elements of our experience as possible." This construct provides a frame of reference by which one gives meaning to experiences and thoughts. These are heavily influenced by the culture within which one lives and a person's earliest experiences within a family and community.


To the extent that one's worldview paradigm is valid or true it can be used to successfully navigate through the challenges and obstacles of one's personal and professional life. To the extent that it is inaccurate, individuals may make decisions and choices that will ultimately bring results that are unwanted or unintended. As educators and parents, it is therefore essential that we a valid worldview paradigm is developed that can be taught to students and children as they prepare for successful adulthood.

A major problem in establishing a correct or valid paradigm of reality consists of two aspects. First, while there is possibly an objective reality to be investigated, each person does so through the subjective reality of one's personal understandings, as influenced by one's immersion in a physical, social, and cultural context. Hatcher (1990) states:

In sum, obtaining valid and useful knowledge means obtaining a reasonably accurate mental picture or map of reality and matching that understanding with our needs in a way that allows us to fulfill them (p. 22).

Hatcher depicts this dilemma as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. The Basic Categories of Existence




Second, one's worldview paradigm, which is a [construct of one's perceived reality](#), focuses attention on certain aspects of objective reality and guides one's interpretation of the possible structure and functioning of both visible and invisible reality (Thulasidas, 2008). It also guides one's understanding and interpretation of the unconscious aspect of subjective reality. It is therefore absolutely critical that a person's, as well as a society's and culture's, subjective interpretations match objective reality. The paradigms educators and parents use to define desired outcomes for students and children, influence decisions such as selecting curriculum, defining appropriate teaching methods, and measuring progress. To the extent these paradigms are incorrect children and youth may be making significant progress in school, while being unprepared for the opportunities and challenges that await them as adults.

As an analogy, one may desire to drive from one city to another. The car is carefully checked, there is plenty of gas, and the drive is started. There is little traffic and it is possible to travel the legal speed limit on a major highway. However, if the road does not go to the desired destination, the person will never arrive there. Likewise, if educators have established desired outcomes, established criteria, and designed appropriate teaching methods and indicators of progress, they may still not be assisting students to become successful adults. It is possible that the paradigm used to create the desired outcomes leads to the selection of different goals and objectives than those actually needed for success in a particular location or time frame. Parents and educators need to pay close attention to [important trends](#) (Huitt, 2007) and [domains of human potential](#) (Huitt, 2011) and do the best they can to imagine what the world will be like in 5, 10, 15 or even 25 years. Adults responsible for the education of young people need to constantly reevaluate whether the stated desired outcomes are correct and constantly adjust curriculum and teaching methods.

In many ways, this course is an analysis of competing paradigms about the world of teaching and learning. One of the goals of the course is that the student will be more capable in developing an explicit (i.e., more conscious and visible) statement of his or her worldview paradigm that can be used systematically to guide teaching practice. Figure 2 shows the framework that will guide the work for this course.

Figure 2. Framework for Analysis of School Programs*



* Developed by: W. Huitt (Last revised: May 2010); Adapted from D. Jordan (1974, Anisa Model) and K. Bookwalter (2003, Wholistic Education System)

Essentially, this framework proposes that in an ideal world theories of human growth and development would be developed through a combination of reflecting on what is known as seen through the lenses of science, history, philosophy, the arts, and religion. These theories define what it means to be a human being as well as how humans develop and learn. They would provide the foundation for theories of pedagogy (how to guide learning for children and youth) and andragogy (how to guide learning for adults) as well as theories of curriculum and assessment. These, in turn, would influence thinking about how schools should be organized and relate to other social institutions, the actual activities taking place in schools and classrooms, and methods for evaluating learning and communicating results with interested stakeholders.

A more practical or realistic approach of actual practice shows, however, that a society's or school's educational paradigm is actually demonstrated in the assessment, measurement, and evaluation instruments and procedures used to verify that learning has occurred and that it is the methods of accountability that actually drive curriculum and instructional practices (e.g., Hummel & Huitt, 1994). This can result in disconnections or a lack of coherence among the different components in the framework, resulting in a fractured, inefficient, and ineffective approach to schooling.

The reading materials for the course will concentrate on research and understandings developed using social and behavioral science methodology. You are encouraged to bring in other sources of information in your discussions of the validity and relevance of assigned materials.

As part of the process of making one's worldview paradigm more explicit, you will be encouraged to consider many of the questions proposed by Aerts et al. (1994) regarding the basic elements that must be considered in one's worldview:

1. What is the nature of our world? How is it structured and how does it function?
2. Why is our world the way it is, and not different? Why are human beings the way we are, and not different? What kind of global explanatory principles can be put forward?
3. Why do we feel the way we feel in this world, and how do we assess global reality, and the role of our species in it?
4. How are we to act and to create in this world? How, in what different ways, can we influence the world and transform it? What are the general principles by which we should organize our actions?
5. What future is open to us and our species in this world? By what criteria are we to select these possible futures?
6. How are we to construct our image of this world in such a way that we can come up with answers to (1), (2), and (3)?
7. What are some of the partial answers that we can propose to these questions?

It is not expected that every student will have a fully-developed worldview paradigm at the end of the course. However, it is expected that one will have considered the competing alternatives and will be better prepared to construct a worldview that can give be used to judge the many methods and strategies proposed for the teaching/learning process today.

References

- Aerts, D., Apostel, L., De Moor, B., Hellemans, S., Maex, E., Van Belle, H., Van Der Veken, J. (1994). *Worldviews: From fragmentation to integration*. Brussels: VUB Press. Retrieved March 2007, from <http://www.vub.ac.be/CLEA/pub/books/worldviews.pdf>
- Baker, J. (1992). *Paradigms: The business of discovering the future*. New York: HarperBusiness.
- Bookwalter, W. K. (2003). WES: A theory and framework for an international curriculum. In D. Trueit, W. Doll, Jr., H. Wang, & W. Pinar, *The Internationalization of Curriculum Studies: Selected Proceedings from the LSU Conference 2000* (Chapter 2). New York: Peter Lang Publications. Retrieved April 2005, from <http://asterix.ednet.lsu.edu/~lsuctp/confpapers/Keith1.html>
- Capra, F. (1996). *The web of life: A new scientific understanding of living systems*. New York: Anchor Books.
- Harman, W. (1970). *An incomplete guide to the future*. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Hatcher, W. (1990). *Logic and logos: Essays on science religion, and philosophy*. Oxford: George Ronald Press.
- Huitt, W. (2007). *Success in the Conceptual Age: Another paradigm shift*. Paper presented at the 32nd Annual Meeting of the Georgia Educational Research Association, Savannah, GA, October 26. Retrieved November 2009, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/conceptual-age-s.pdf>
- Huitt, W. (2011). *A holistic view of education and schooling: Guiding students to develop capacities, acquire virtues, and provide service*. Paper presented at the 12th Annual International Conference sponsored by the Athens Institute for Education and Research (ATINER), May 24-27, Athens, Greece. Retrieved from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/holistic-view-of-schooling-rev.pdf>
- Hummel, J., & Huitt, W. (1994, February). What you measure is what you get. *GaASCD Newsletter: The Reporter*, 10-11. Retrieved November 2009, from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/papers/wymiwyg.pdf>
- Jordan, D. (1974). *A summary statement of the ANISA model*. Cambridge, MA: ANISA. Retrieved April 2005, from http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/anisa/overview/summary_ANISA.pdf
- Kuhn, T. (1962). *The structure of scientific revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. [see <http://www.emory.edu/EDUCATION/mfp/Kuhn.html>]
- Thulasidas, M. (2008). Perception, physics, and the role of light in philosophy. *The Philosopher*, 96(1). Retrieved November 2009, from <http://www.the-philosopher.co.uk/unreal.htm>

Return to:

- [Return to Science: A Way of Knowing](#)
- [EdPsyc Interactive: Courses](#)
- [Home Page](#)